

Appl. No. 10/072,223

Reply to Office Action of February 25, 2005

## REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1 and 2 have been provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. In response, Applicants have submitted herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, and thus respectfully submit that the rejection should be withdrawn in view of same. Therefore, claims 1 and 2 should be rendered allowable.

Further, Applicants respectfully submit that the objection to claim 3 should be withdrawn as claim 1 of which claim 3 depends therefrom should be rendered allowable as discussed above. Claims 4-7 have been rendered allowable.

In the Office Action, the Title has been objected to as allegedly not descriptive. While Applicants do not agree with this position, in the spirit of cooperation and to further advance the allowability of the present application, Applicants have amended the Title as provided above, and thus, respectfully submit that the objection thereto should be withdrawn in view of same. In the event that the objection is maintained, Applicants kindly request that the Patent Office propose a new Title for Applicants' consideration.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and earnestly solicit reconsideration of same.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD &amp; LLOYD LLC

BY 

Thomas C. Basso

Reg. No. 46,541

P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4310

Dated: May 17, 2005